



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/696,788

10/30/2003

Shufeng Han

16569-US

8112

30689

7590

03/24/2009

DEERE & COMPANY  
ONE JOHN DEERE PLACE  
MOLINE, IL 61265

EXAMINER

DIACOU, ARI M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3663

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/24/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/696,788	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ARI M. DIACOU	<b>Art Unit</b> 3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARI M. DIACOU. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Neil Ferrari. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Quincke, Keller and Stewart(NPL).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 103 rejection of the claims was discussed, as well as arguments and possible future directions of amendment. No agreement was reached. Examiner will provide a copy of the Stewart reference in with the next office action. Applicant's proposed arguments are attached herewith.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric Bolda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663	/A.M.D./ Assistant Examiner, Art Unit 3663
---	---